

Proof

by Kingfisher *Sunday, Sep 11 2005, 4:45pm*

national / social/political / opinion/analysis

Philip Ruddock, Federal Attorney General to Australian, hopes to eclipse the neo-cons of the US in the race toward the fascist ideal of social uniformity and control (oppression). Ruddock has invoked his new 'anti-terrorist' laws - not to protect against 'Islamic terrorists' as claimed - but to silence voices of opposition, protest and dissent, as was the real intention. The charade was short lived; it was Ruddock's inadequate and compensatory personality that 'blew it' for the entire conservative right.

The new 'anti-terrorist' laws are being utilised to apprehend and deport an American peace activist; we recall a dissenting outburst against G W Bush in the Australian parliament, in response to which Bush replied, "I love freedom of speech!" The hypocrisy of both the American and Australian governments knows no bounds. In the recent past we published an article in which it was stated, and I quote:

"The new laws are designed to silence dissenting views, opinions and voices, not to secure against external attack. The most insidious law is that of "indirect incitement" which was obviously designed to 'deal' with any opposition. Modern ruling elites are aware that the 'enemy' (term used here in its relative sense) that poses the greater threat is the domestic population - not external gangs of bearded terrorists".

Ruddock's action confirms an agenda to silence protest - one of the most valuable rights and safety mechanisms in any democratic society; it should be plain to all that the (now fascist) government of Australia fears freedom of speech and protest. Where will it end? History indicates the fate of some infamous ultra-right-wing regimes of the past.

Some international readers may not be familiar with the cringe mentality that manifests as the conservative right in Australia. Remember, given the opportunity to form a Republic, the local population (duped by Howard) chose instead to retain their colonial links to an (anachronistic) English monarchy. Australia retains the "union jack" on its flag as an indicator that it is not up to the task of forming a truly independent identity - pathetic!

However, there is a stronger aspect to the Australian psyche, that of the 'rebel Irish immigrant', personified as the bushranger, Ned Kelly; it is this aspect the world knows as the Australian character - not the cowardly colonial cringe mentality displayed by Howard, Ruddock and Downer.

The colonial cringe produces inadequate and compensatory personalities like Howard and Ruddock; these personalities are constantly in search of a father figure to protect them (excuse me while I spit!) Fortunately for Australia the above-mentioned fleas do not represent the true Australian character, only its recessive and retrograde aspects.

The essential element of the Australian flag is the Southern Cross, found not on a piece of cloth adorned with the union jack but in the great southern night sky.

In conclusion, we are grateful to Ruddock and his over zealous, compensatory personality for making

plain (to all) the hidden agenda of the conservative government.

'Well may we say God save the Queen, because nothing will save the Attorney General.'

Cleaves Alternative News. <http://cleaves.lingama.net/news/story-109.html>