

## **Who is the "Enemy?" Bradley Manning to be tried for aiding TRUTH and Liberty**

by red *Saturday, Apr 28 2012, 1:52am*

international / injustice/law / other press

### **The so-called 'enemy' has direct links to Virginia (CIA) and Washington**

Good lawyers and investigators would have a field day with this case and the moronic, CORRUPT and PARTIAL military 'court.' Remember that president Obama himself stated openly that Manning was GUILTY! Which absurdist trial are we prosecuting today , Mr Barack Alice Kafka? You people have lost it, big time! The WORLD (history) is watching you imbeciles imploding in your own cesspool of lies, distortions, deceptions and unreality -- what a tragic circus!

Libya, Syria and other subversive infiltration campaigns conducted by the US administration utilising combined '**Al-Qaeda**', SAS and CIA forces on the ground, portrays a rather comical scenario of an 'enemy-friend' of CONVENIENCE, don't you think, you Yankee doodle DUNCES?

Report follows:

### **Bradley Manning charged with 'aiding the enemy!'**

Military judge Col. Denise Lind refused to dismiss the most serious charges against Army Private Bradley Manning, accused of leaking classified documents for transparency website Wikileaks. Thursday night Lind announced she was rejecting the motion made by the defense to throw out the charge of "aiding the enemy". Manning will now face a maximum penalty of life in prison.

Manning's attorney David Coombs maintains that there will be no proof that Manning intended to help al-Qaida when he allegedly leaked the classified material to Wikileaks.

"Everything we know about Bradley Manning is the complete opposite of this charge — nothing about aiding the enemy but everything about aiding the public's understanding of an unpopular war," stated Jeff Paterson, of the Bradley Manning Support Group.

\* \* \*

Associated Press: No charges dismissed for soldier in Wikileaks case

Col. Denise Lind rejected a defense motion to throw out the charge of "aiding the enemy" during a pretrial hearing for Pfc. Bradley Manning. The charge carries a maximum penalty of life in prison. It was one of several motions seeking to dismiss some or all of the charges, but Lind left all 22 counts against Manning in place.

In seeking dismissal of the most serious offense, defense attorney David Coombs had argued that the charge didn't properly allege that Manning intended to help al-Qaida when he allegedly sent hundreds of thousands of classified Iraq and Afghanistan war

reports and State Department diplomatic cables to the anti-secrecy website WikiLeaks.

Manning stated in an online chat with a confidant-turned-informant that he leaked the information because, "I want people to see the truth."

Prosecutors had argued that Manning knew the enemy would see the material when it appeared on WikiLeaks, regardless of his intentions.

Lind said Thursday that prosecutors must prove during trial that Manning knew he was giving information to the enemy. If they fail to do so, Lind indicated she would consider a defense motion to dismiss the charge.

Jeff Paterson, a leader of the Bradley Manning Support Group, said he was disappointed by the ruling but encouraged by what he called the "high hurdle" prosecutors must clear.

\* \* \*

Agence France-Presse: WikiLeaks suspect loses bid to get key charge dropped

The decision was another setback for Manning, whose attorneys had argued for the espionage charge to be tossed out unless the government was prepared to prove the US Army private had intended to help Al-Qaeda when he allegedly passed files to WikiLeaks.

The 24-year-old could be jailed for life if convicted of "aiding the enemy," one of 22 criminal charges that judge Colonel Denise Lind let stand at pre-trial hearings this week at Fort Meade in Maryland.

Lind said she would issue instructions on the espionage count to make clear what prosecutors will have to prove against Manning when his trial starts on September 21.

The government will have to show that Manning "knowingly" and without permission passed classified information to the enemy "through indirect means," she said.

Defense lawyers insisted the government's case implies any soldier could be prosecuted for espionage if they inadvertently divulged secrets online or discussed sensitive information with news reporters.

Prosecutors, however, maintained Manning's intent was not at issue and that the government only needed to prove that the intelligence analyst knew Al-Qaeda would see the leaked information on the anti-secrecy WikiLeaks site.

**Why do WE fight each other for the benefit of wealthy, criminal Psychopaths? We are the people of the WORLD -- the oppressed, abused and enslaved are ONE. Do not let them DIVIDE us any longer!**

<http://www.commondreams.org/headline/2012/04/27-2>

---

Cleaves Alternative News. <http://cleaves.lingama.net/news/story-3187.html>