The two winners of the 2008 presidential election: fear and war

by Larry Chin via reed - Global Research *Friday, Mar 7 2008, 7:09am* international / social/political / other press

'A change of leaders is the joy of fools'

The 2008 US presidential charade has already been decided. Come November, the next White House occupant (who will be installed via political malfeasance, computer vote theft and other election "irregularities") will be the puppet who proves to be the most effective in echoing Bush-Cheney's "war on terrorism" lies, and expanding the Bush-Cheney "national security" agenda.



Clinton and Obama

The American populace will bow to the "next Bush" who will "keep them safe" from "Islamic jihadists." Facing a new and increasingly brutal regime (probably under McCain), many brain-addled Americans will be stunned that "it is happening all over again," oblivious to the fact that their own acquiescence helped make it possible.

Washington's bipartisan consensus "war on terrorism" deception

Amply demonstrated by the rhetoric of each of the prospective US presidential candidates, the "terrorism" lie is also the key to the election. The candidates know that the ill-informed US population remains petrified, and still thoroughly manipulated by fear of "another 9/11."

As exhaustively detailed by Michel Chossudovsky, author of America's "War on Terrorism," and in "Washington's consensus al-Qaeda deception", the "war on terrorism" deception is a manipulation supported by an elite consensus, and a cover-up promoted equally by Washington's political factions and both Republican and Democratic parties.

This myth, which rests on the perpetual fabricated threat of an outside enemy, has been the key to the power wielded by Bush-Cheney. It remains at the core of every official and unofficial decision made by this criminal regime, and its complicit bipartisan Washington partners. The "terrorist" threat to the US homeland, and its many propaganda variations, are now embedded fixations in the American psyche, reinforced by endless corporate media bombast.

The Washington consensus has remained united behind the lies and cover-up of 1) the atrocities of 9/11, a US-led false flag operation, 2) the fact that "Al-Qaeda" is an Anglo-American militaryintelligence covert operation, and 3) the use of "anti-terrorism" as a pretext to invade and conquer Afghanistan and Iraq, and its use as the justification for future war across the Middle East and Central Asia, Africa, and other vital geostrategic regions.

Which candidate will be the most effective mass murderer?

Clinton, McCain and Obama are backed by hawkish national security teams headed by some of the world's master war criminals (Kissinger, Brzezinski, Albright, etc.).These elite connections, and their ramifications, which promise the deepening of the war, remain unaddressed and ignored.

John McCain is deeply corrupt and ruthless -- the perfect extension of Bush-Cheney. McCain's participation in the 1980s savings and loan scandal, as a member of the infamous Keating Five, is a matter of historical fact. Also a matter of record are McCain's brutal views on war and killing, which are best exemplified by his 2001 op-ed, War is Hell. Now Let's Get On With It.

Despite their inexplicable reputations as liberals, Democratic presidential hopefuls Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama, are now locked in a bitter and destructive struggle over who is the more Bush/Cheney-esque; who is the superior "anti-terrorist" and protector of "American security."

Clinton and Obama have both repeated the same slippery and all too familiar "war on terrorism" deceptions favored by the elite neoliberal faction: